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Short Description

- Description
The technique of Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) has revolutionized the field of computer game-playing, and is starting to have an impact in other search and optimization domains as well. In past decades, the dominant paradigm in game algorithms was alpha-beta search. This technique, with many refinements and game-specific engineering, lead to breakthrough performances in classic board games such as chess, checkers and Othello. After Deep Blue’s famous victory over Kasparov in 1996, some of the research focus shifted to games where alpha-beta search was not sufficient. Most prominent among these was the ancient Asian game of Go. During the last few years, the use of MCTS techniques in Computer Go has really taken off, but the groundwork was laid much earlier. In 1990, Abramson proposed to model the expected outcome of a game by averaging the results of many random games. In 1993, Bruegmann proposed Monte-Carlo techniques for Go using almost random games, and developed the refinement he termed all-moves-as-first (AMAF). Ten years later, a group of French researchers working with Bruno Bouzy took up the idea. Bouzy’s Indigo program used Monte-Carlo simulation to decide between the top moves proposed by a classical knowledge-based Go engine. Remi Coulom’s Crazy Stone was the first to add the crucial second element, a selective game tree search controlled by the results of the simulations. he last piece of the puzzle was the Upper-Confidence Tree (UCT) algorithm of Kocsis and Szepesvari, which applied ideas from the theory of multi-armed bandits to the problem of how to selectively grow a game tree. Gelly and Wang developed the first version of MoGo, which among other innovations combined Coulom’s ideas, the UCT algorithm, and pattern-directed simulations. AMAF was revived and extended in Gelly and Silver’s Rapid Action Value Estimate (RAVE), which computes AMAF statistics in all nodes of the UCT tree. Rapid progress in applying knowledge and parallelizing the search followed. Today, programs such as MoGo/MoGoTW, Crazy Stone, Fuego, Many Faces of Go, and Zen have achieved a level of play that seemed unthinkable only a decade ago. These programs are now competitive at a professional level for 9 x9 Go and amateur Dan strength on 19x19.

One measure of success is competitions. In Go, Monte-Carlo programs now completely dominate classical programs on all board sizes (though no one has tried boards larger than 19x19). Monte-Carlo programs have achieved considerable success in play against humans. An early sign of things to come was a series of games on a 7x7 board between Crazy Stone and professional 5th Dan Guo Juan. Crazy Stone demonstrated almost perfect play. Since 2008, National University of Tainan (NUTN) in Taiwan and other academic organizations have hosted or organized several human vs. computer Go-related events, including the 2008 Computational Intelligence Forum & World 9x9 Computer Go Championship, and 2009 Invited Games for MoGo vs. Taiwan Professional Go Players (Taiwan Open 2009). Besides, the FUZZ-IEEE 2009: Panel, Invited Sessions, and Human vs. Computer Go Competition was held at the 2009 International Conference on Fuzzy Systems in Aug. 2009. This event was the first human vs. computer Go competition hosted by the IEEE Computational Intelligence Society (CIS) at the IEEE CIS flag conference. In 2010, MoGo and Many Faces of Go achieved wins against strong amateur players on 13x13 with only two handicap stones. On the full 19x19 board, programs have racked up a number of wins (but still a lot more losses) on 6 and 7 handicap stones against top professional Go players; also Zen recently won with handicap 4 against Masaki Takemiya 9p. Also, computer Go Programs have won both as White and Black against top players in 9x9 game.

In April 2011, MoGoTW broke a new world record by winning the first 13x13 game against the 5th Dan professional Go player with handicap 3 and reversed komi of 3.5. It also won 3 out of 4 games of Blind Go in 9x9. In June 2011, in the three-day completion held at FUZZ-IEEE 2011, there are four programs, including MoGoTW, Many Faces of Go, Fuego, and Zen, invited to join this competition, and more than ten invited professional Go players accept the challenge, including Chun-Hsun Chou (9P), Ping-Chiang Chou (5P), Joanne Missingham (5P), and Kai-Hsin Chang (4P). The computer Go program Zen from Japan won each competition even playing 19x19 game with Chun-Hsun Chou (9P) with handicap 6, showing that the level of computer Go programs in 19x19 game is estimated at 4D. Also, Many Faces of Go and Zen also won against a 5P Go player in 13x13, with handicap 2 and komi 3.5, improving the April’s results by MoGoTW by one stone. In addition, MoGoTW also won all of twenty 7x7 games under a specific komi, that is, setting komi 9.5 and 8.5 as MoGoTW is White and Black, respectively, suggesting that the perfect play is a draw with komi 9. MoGoTW with the adaptive learning ability was first played with the amateur Go players from kyu level to
Dan level in Taiwan, on May 6 and May 27, 2012. Estimating the level of an opponent is useful for choosing the right strength of an opponent and for attributing relevant ranks to players. We estimate the relation between the strength of a player and the number of simulations needed for a MCTS to have the same strength. In addition, we also play against many players in the same time, and try to estimate their strength.

In June 2012, Human vs. Computer Go Competition @ IEEE WCCI 2012 saw physiological measurements for testing cognitive science on the game of Go [11]. The game results show that MoGoTW has outperformed humans in 7x7 Go, making no mistake whereas humans, including one 6P player, did mistakes. Humans are still strong in front of computers in 9x9 Go with komi 7. In June 2011, computers won four 13x13 games out of 8 against professional players with H2 and 3.5 komi (i.e. handicap roughly 1.5), the best performance so far [10]. For 13x13 kill-all Go, in Tainan in 2011, MoGoTW could win as White with H8 against Ping-Chiang Chou (5P), which is seemingly the best performance so far. For 19x19, there are still 4 handicap stones, giving to the program an advantage in each corner, so professional Go players mentioned that switching to handicap 3 is a huge challenge. Level assessment in Go shows that our artificial player is also able to analyze the strength of strong players. Importantly, along with this ability to evaluate the strength of humans, the computer can adaptively adjust its strength to the opponent, in order to increase entertainment, which is helpful for motivating children to learn. With the collected physiological signals, it will be feasible to analyze game-level statistics to understand the variance of strategies employed by the human and computer in each game [11].

In order to enhance the fun in playing Go between humans and computer Go programs and to stimulate the development and researches of computer Go programs, Human vs. Computer Go Competition will be held at 2013 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE 2013), HICC, Hyderabad, India. It is hosted by IEEE CIS, FUZZ-IEEE 2013, National University of Tainan (NUTN), Taiwan, and INRIA, France, and organized by some Taiwan’s academic affiliations, including Taiwanese Association for Artificial Intelligence (TAAI), Taiwan Association of Cloud Computing (TACC), Computer Center of NUTN, Dept. of Computer Science and Information Engineering (CSIE) of NUTN, Center for Research of Knowledge Application & Web Service (KWS) of NUTN, and National Dong Hwa University (NDHU), as well as France’s academic affiliation TAO, INRIA. The objective of the competition is to highlight an ongoing research on Computational Intelligence approaches as well as their applications on game domains. In addition, it is also hoped that the advances in computational intelligence will make more progress in the field of computer Go than before to achieve as much as computer chess or Chinese chess in the future.

• **Human**
  - Taiwanese Professional Go Players
    - Ping-Chiang Chou (5P)
    - Shi-Jim Yen (6D)
    - Ching-Nung Lin (6D)

• **Computer Go Program**
  - MoGo/MoGoTW (France / Taiwan)
  - Many Faces of Go (USA)
  - Zen (Japan)
  - Coldmilk (Taiwan)

• **Reference**


Hong, “The computational intelligence of MoGo revealed in Taiwan's computer Go tournaments,” IEEE Transactions on Computational Intelligence and AI in Games, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 73-89, Mar. 2009.
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## Program

**July 9, 2013 (GMT + 5.5)**

- National University of Tainan (NUTN) of Taiwan financially supports the Human vs. Computer Go Competition @ FUZZ-IEEE 2013.
- HeroIT.com Co. Ltd. financially supports the Human vs. Computer Go Competition @ FUZZ-IEEE 2013.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09:30-10:00</td>
<td>Opening Ceremony</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:00-10:20</td>
<td>Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20-11:50</td>
<td><strong>Human vs. Computer Go Competition #1</strong> (19x19 Game / 45 minutes per Side)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ping-Chiang Chou (5P, White, H4 with Komi 0.5) vs. Zen (Black)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ching-Nung Lin (6D, White, H2 with Komi 0.5) vs. Many Faces of Go (Black)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20-11:50</td>
<td><strong>Human vs. Computer Go Competition #2</strong> (Machine Learning 9x9 Game / Komi 7.5 / 45 minutes per Side)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shi-Jim Yen (6D, Black) vs. MoGoTW (White)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:20-11:50</td>
<td><strong>Human vs. Computer Go Competition #3</strong> (Machine Learning 9x9 Game / Komi 7.5 / 45 minutes per Side)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ping-Chiang Chou (5P, Black) vs. MoGoTW (White)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shi-Jim Yen (6D, Black) vs. MoGoTW (White)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ching-Nung Lin (6D, Black) vs. MoGoTW (White)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11:50-13:20</td>
<td>Lunch Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:40-16:10</td>
<td><strong>Human vs. Computer Go Competition #4</strong> (19x19 Game / 45 minutes per Side)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ping-Chiang Chou (5P, White, H5 with Komi 0.5) vs. Many Faces of Go (Black)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shi-Jim Yen (6D, White, no handicap with Komi 0.5) vs. Zen (Black)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14:40-16:00</td>
<td><strong>Human vs. Computer Go Competition #5</strong> (9x9 Game / Komi 7.5 / 20 minutes per Side)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ching-Nung Lin (6D, White) vs. Coldmilk (Black)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coldmilk (White) vs. Ching-Nung Lin (6D, Black)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15:40-16:00</td>
<td>Coffee Break</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30-18:00</td>
<td><strong>Human vs. Computer Go Competition #6</strong> (19x19 Game / 45 minutes per Side)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Shi-Jim Yen (6D, White, H2 with Komi 0.5) vs. Many Faces of Go (Black)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ching-Nung Lin (6D, White, no handicap with Komi 0.5) vs. Zen (Black)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16:30-17:50</td>
<td><strong>Human vs. Computer Go Competition #7</strong> (9x9 Game / Komi 7.5 / 20 minutes per Side)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ping-Chiang Chou (5P, White) vs. Coldmilk (Black)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coldmilk (White) vs. Ping-Chiang Chou (5P, Black)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18:40</td>
<td>Conference Banquet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Invited Go Players Introduction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Go Players</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Introduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ping-Chiang Chou</td>
<td>5P</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td><strong>Ping-Chiang Chou</strong> was born in Taipei, Taiwan. He and Chun-Hsun Chou are brothers. Chou became a professional in 2002. He would later advance to 5P in 2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shi-Jim Yen</td>
<td>6D</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td><strong>Shi-Jim Yen</strong> is an associate professor of Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering in National Dong Hwa University. He is an IEEE CIS Membership, has received his Ph.D. degree in Computer Science and Information Engineering from National Taiwan University, Taiwan. He specialized in artificial Intelligence and computer games. In these areas, he has published over 50 papers in international journals or conference proceedings. He is a 6-dan Go player. He served as a workshop chair on 5th international conference on Grid and Pervasive Computing in 2010, and a workshop chair of 2010 International Taiwanese Association for Artificial Intelligence (TAAI) conference. He serves as a workshop co-chair of 2011 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems. He is the Chair of the IEEE Computational Intelligence Society (CIS) Emergent Technologies Technical Committee (ETTC) Task Force on Emerging Technologies for Computer Go in 2010.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ching-Nung Lin</td>
<td>6D</td>
<td>Taiwan</td>
<td><strong>Ching-Nung Lin</strong> is a master student at the Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Dong Hwa University, Hualien, Taiwan. His research interests focus on Computer Go. He is an amateur 6 dan Go player.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Computer Go Programs Introduction

**Computer Go Program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Introduction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| MoGoTW        | France, Netherlands, Taiwan | • Developers: People in many universities, including Université Paris-Sud (France), Maastricht University (Netherlands), National University of Tainan (Taiwan), and National Dong Hwa University (Taiwan).  
  • Algorithmic Principles: Monte-Carlo Tree Search with RAVE, sequence like simulations, learnt patterns in the tree part.  
  • Features: Opening book is based on Meta-MCTS, it is the first program to defeat pros on 9x9 board and on 19x19 board, and it is the first program to defeat a top pro with difficult side on 9x9. |
| Many Faces of Go | USA             | • Developers: David Fotland and Smart Games.  
  • Algorithmic Principles: Mote Carlo Tree Search with many of the popular extensions, including RAVE, Silver’s Beta formula, progressive unpruning, dynamic komi, and machine optimization of 3x3 patterns.  
  • Features: The move generator of the traditional Go program is used to bias the tree part of the search. There is no tree data structure. All search data are kept in a set of per-core hash tables. |
| Zen           | Japan           | • Developers: Yoji Ojima (independent programmer) and Hideki Kato (AI researcher).  
  • Algorithmic Principles: MCTS with hash-coded large-patterns of expert knowledge of the game of Go and very smart, sequence-like simulations. Dynamic-komi and network parallelism are also used.  
  • Features: A carefully tuned combination of significantly improved sequence-like simulations and the large-patterns of Go knowledge. The network parallel algorithm is also our original |
| Coldmilk      | Taiwan          | • Developers: Cheng-Wei Chou (National Dong Hwa University, Taiwan) and Shi-Jim Yen (National Dong Hwa University, Taiwan).  
  • Algorithmic Principles: Coldmilk uses Monte Carlo Tree Search based with RAVE and dynamic komi.  
  • Features: Coldmilk was developed for small Go board. It is not so strong for 19x19. 9x9 opening book is constructed by the volunteer computing system of a National Science Council research project (99-2221-E-259-009-MY3) in Taiwan. |

**Machine Spec**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Machine Spec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| MoGoTW    | France, Netherlands, Taiwan | HP ProLiant DL785 G6 with 64GB RAM, where HP ProLiant DL785 G6 is 4 CPUs AMD@2.4GHz and 64GB RAM)(Each CPU has 6 cores)  
  But, we only uses 8 cores of a 2.4 GHz AMD Opteron(tm) Processor 8431 |
| Many Faces of Go | USA             | Amazon EC2 cc2.8xlarge, 2 x Intel Xeon E5-2670, 16 cores, 2.6 GHz, 60 MB memory. |
| Zen       | Japan           | Mini cluster of four computers: a dual Intel Xeon X5680, an Intel Core i7 3930K, an Intel Core i7 990X, and an Intel Xeon W3680; all run at 4 GHz |
| Coldmilk  | Taiwan          | Intel XEON 2.9G 16cores, 128G RAM |
Taiwan Open 2009 on CNN-iReport

Website
Taiwan Open 2009: http://go.nutn.edu.tw/2009/
ON CNN-iReport: http://www.ireport.com/docs/DOC-214010

Content
The game of Go is one of the last board games where the strongest humans are still able to easily win against artificial intelligence. But researchers have discovered new performing algorithms and computers are catching up really fast. The Taiwan Open 2009 was held in Tainan Taiwan between the tenth and thirteenth of February. On the first two days of the event, the Go program MoGo made two new world records by winning a 19 by 19 game with 7 handicap stones against the 9P professional Go player Jun-Xun Zhou and a 19 by 19 game with 6 handicap stones against the 1P professional Go player Li-Chen Chien. If computers continue to improve at this rate, one more human stronghold may fall in front of machines in less than 10 years.

Arpad Rimmel reports on National University of Tainan (NUTN), Taiwan, on Feb. 14, 2009.
Rules

1. Basic Rules
   - 9 × 9 Game: 20 minutes per side, Komi 7.5, Chinese rules
   - 19 × 19 Game: 45 minutes per side, Komi 0.5, Chinese rules (90 minutes per game)
   - Machine Learning 9x9 Game: 45 minutes per side, Komi 7.5, Chinese rules

2. Informal Outline
   - Chinese Rules
     - area scoring,
     - suicide is illegal,
     - basic ko + long cycle rule (no superko!).
   - 19 × 19 Go
     - Each program should complete its moves for 19×19 Go in 45 minutes.
     - In case of a dispute where each program has played at least 125 moves in 45 minutes the result is decided by the tournament director. If only one program has not played at least 125 moves in 45 minutes that program loses immediately.
   - 9 × 9 Go
     - Each program should complete its moves for 9×9 Go in 20 minutes.
     - In case of a dispute where each program has played at least 30 moves in 20 minutes the result is decided by the tournament director. If only one program has not played at least 30 moves in 20 minutes that program loses immediately.

3. Rules
   **Important:** Although we attempt to be as precise as possible, we cannot rule out that disputes arise due to unforeseen events that are not (adequately) covered by this rules text. When this happens all participants are expected to behave in a sportsmanlike manner and accept the decision by the tournament director (TD), who may use any means at his discretion to come to a fair decision.
   - The Board
     The game of Go is played by two programs, Black and White, on a rectangular grid of horizontal and vertical lines (9×9 or 19×19). Each intersection of the grid is colored black if it contains a black stone, white if it contains a white stone, or empty if it contains no stone. Initially the board is empty.
   - The Move
     One program uses black stones, the other white stones. The program with the black stones starts the game. The programs move alternately. A move is either a play of a stone on an empty intersection, or a pass. Instead of moving, at any point during the game a program, or its operator, may resign, in which case the game ends as a win for the opponent.
   - Connectivity and Liberties
     Two intersections are adjacent if they have a line but no intersection between them. Two adjacent intersections are connected if they have the same color. Two non-adjacent intersections are connected if there is a path along lines of adjacent intersections of their color between them. For an intersection, the intersection and all connected intersections of the same color form a block. The adjacent empty intersections of a block are called liberties.
   - Capture
     A block is captured when the opponent plays a (legal) move on the block’s last liberty. Captured blocks are removed from the grid; the intersections are colored empty.
   - Illegal Moves
     **Suicide:** A play that does not capture any block and leaves its own block without a liberty is illegal.
     **Ko:** A play may not capture a single stone if this stone was played to capture a single stone in the last preceding play.
   - Long Cycle Rule
     A board position is defined by the coloring of the grid’s intersections directly after play and any consequent removals.
     If a play recreates a previous board position then exceptionally and immediately the game ends and is scored, based on an analysis of all moves played since the moment just after the first occurrence
until the moment just after the last occurrence, as follows:

- If between the two occurrences the difference in number of captured black and white stones is not zero, then the program that captured the most stones of the opposing color wins the game.
- If between the two occurrences the difference in number of captured black and white stones is zero, then the game ends as a draw.

**Scoring**

Each black or white intersection counts as a point for its respective color. Each empty intersection which is part of an empty block adjacent only to intersections of one color counts as a point for that adjacent color.

The score is determined according to KGS ([http://www.gokgs.com/](http://www.gokgs.com/)) scoring rules.

**References**


Reference


Since 2008, National University of Tainan (NUTN) in Taiwan and other academic organizations have hosted or organized several human vs. computer Go-related events [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] in Taiwan and in IEEE CIS flag conferences, including FUZZ-IEEE 2009, IEEE WCCI 2010, IEEE SSCI 2011, and FUZZ-IEEE 2011. Chun-Hsun Chou (9P), Ping-Chiang Chou (SP), Joanne Misingham (6P), Shang-Rong Tsai (6D), Sheng-Shu Chang (6D), and Shi-Jim Yen (6D) were invited to attend the Human vs. Computer Go Competition @ IEEE WCCI 2012. They played a game against Zen. The computer made a move for Zen, and a move for Zen (a very suboptimal move, aimed at making the situation difficult). The game ended when Zen erroneously resigned, whereas the situation was not yet a win. There were still 4 handicap stones, giving the computer an advantage in each corner, so professional Go players mentioned that switching to handicap 3 is a huge challenge. In particular, computers still have weaknesses for combining multiple local fights. Remarkably, Many Faces of Go won as Black with komi 3.5, i.e., roughly a 9.5 handicap for computer (when computer is White) and 2 handicap when computer is Black). The game results show that MegaTW won all games which were easy for it and won 50% of “hard” games against three 6D players. MegaTW also won 1 out of 6 games in the hard setting against professional players. However, Joanne Misingham (6P) said that the reason she lost the game is that she is not familiar with 7x7 game, and this lost game is her first 7x7 game. MegaTW has outperformed humans in 7x7 Go, making no mistake whereas humans, including one 6P.
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Abstract The game of Go is considered one of the most complicated games in the world. One Go game is divided into three stages: the opening, the middle, and the ending stages. Millions of people regularly play Go in countries around the world. The game is played by two players. One is White and another is Black. The players alternate placing one of their stones on an empty intersection of a square grid-patterned game board. The player with more territory wins the game. This paper proposes a soft-computing-based emotional expression mechanism and applies it to the game of computer Go to make Go beginners enjoy watching Go game and keep their tension on the game. First, the knowledge base and rule base of the proposed mechanism are defined by following the standards of the fuzzy markup language. The soft-computing mechanism for Go regional alarm level is responsible for showing the inferred regional alarm level to Go beginners. Based on the inferred board situation, the fuzzy inference mechanisms for emotional pleasure and arousal are responsible for inferring the pleasure degree and arousal degree, respectively. An emotional expression mapping mechanism maps the inferred degree of pleasure and degree of arousal into the emotional expression of the eye robot. The protocol transmission mechanism finally sends the pre-defined protocol to the eye robot via universal serial bus interface to make the eye robot express its emotional motion. From the experimental results, it shows that the eye robot can support Go beginners to have fun and retain their tension while watching or playing a game of Go.

Keywords Soft-computing · Ontology · Fuzzy markup language · Computer Go · Fuzzy inference mechanism · Emotional expression

1 Introduction

According to Werf (2004), Go originated in China and was imported to Japan around the seventh century. Westerners first came into contact with Go in the late sixteenth century. Normally, the weaker player uses black stones and starts the game, Go rules are simple, but it is much more complex than chess (Werf 2004). As the game progresses, the players alternatively place one of their stones on an empty intersection of the game board. The side of the board is 19 × 19 in almost all of official games. In the end, the player who controls the most intersections on the board wins the game. According to The International Go Federation (2012), the total number of Go players worldwide is well over 40 million, with the overwhelming majority in East Asia. Nowadays, with the support of the Internet, people are able to play a variety of computer games, including Go, against a human player or against a computer program. The ability of computer programs to play Go has
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The game of Go originated from China. Around the 7th century, the game was imported to Japan. In the late 16th century, the first Westerner came into contact with Go. There are millions of people that regularly play Go in many countries around the world. Played by two players, Black and White, the stones of their color are placed consecutively on an empty intersection of a square grid. Normally, the weaker player plays Black and starts the game. In the end, the player who controls the most intersections of the board wins the game [1]. Go is a board game that is much more complex than chess. Indeed, the number of possible moves in the game of Go is more important and the size of the tree of possibilities is greater than the number of atoms in the universe [2]. However, despite several decades of artificial intelligence or computational intelligence, there are still no computer Go programs that can challenge a strong professional player in 19 × 19 games without handicap. This is because Go is a problem with high uncertainty, especially for big board games, like the 19 × 19 board. Each Go player has his own way of thinking to play with his opponent, and each top professional Go player will take different strategies even though they face the same situation. Thus, in 1997, the IBM’s Deep Blue Supercomputer beat the World Chess Champion, Garry Kasparov, while the game of Go is still one of the last board games where the strongest humans are still able to easily win against computers in big board games [3, 4, 5].

Since 2008, National University of Taiwan (NUTN) in Taiwan and other academic organizations have hosted or organized several human vs. computer Go-related events, including the 2008 Computational Intelligence Forum & World 9 × 9 Computer Go Championship (http://go.nutn.edu.tw/) [3], and 2009 Invited Games for MoGo vs. Taiwan Professional Go Players (Taiwan Open 2009, http://go.nutn.edu.tw/2009/) [4]. Besides, the FUZZ-IEEE 2009: Panel, Invited Session, and Human vs. Computer Go Competition (http://cose.nutn.edu.tw/FUZZ-IEEE_2009/) [5] was held at the 2009 International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE 2009) in Aug. 2009. This event was the first human vs. computer Go competition hosted by the IEEE Computational Intelligence Society (CIS) at the IEEE CIS BAG conference, and Dr. David Fogel (2008–2009 IEEE CIS President) also presented a certificate to the invited Go players to recognize their continued commitment and service to the research and development for computer Go at the banquet. MoGoTW was developed based on MoGo 4.86 Sessions and the Taiwan (TW) modifications. This was developed jointly with the Taiwanese colleagues for a National Science Council (NSC)-National Research Agency (ANR) research project between Taiwan and France. The 2010 Invited Game for MoGoTW vs. Human Go Player (http://go.nutn.edu.tw/2010/) was held at the NUTN, Taiwan, on Mar. 31, 2010 and MoGoTW was qualified to award three certificates with 1st Dan (1D), 2D, and 3D level on Apr. 2, 2010.

This human vs. computer Go competition (http://wcci2010.nutn.edu.tw/), organized by the IEEE CIS, 2010 IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence (WCCI 2010), IEEE CIS Emerging Technologies Technical
Current Frontiers in Computer Go

A. Rimmel, Olivier Teytaud, Chang-Shing Lee, Shi-Jim Yen, Mei-Hui Wang, and Shang-Rong Tsai

Abstract—This paper presents the recent technical advances in Monte Carlo tree search (MCTS) for the game of Go, shows the many similarities and the rare differences between the current best programs, and reports the results of the Computer Go event organized at the 2009 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE2009), in which four main Go programs played against top human levels. We see that in 9 × 9, computers are very close to the best human levels, and can be improved easily for the opening book; whereas in 19 × 19, handicap 7 is not enough for the computers to win against top level professional players, due to some clearly understood (but not solved) weaknesses of the current algorithms. Applications far from the game of Go are also cited. Importantly, the first ever win of a computer against a 9th Dan professional player in 9 × 9 Go occurred in this event.

Index Terms—Game of Go, Monte Carlo tree search (MCTS), upper confidence.

I. INTRODUCTION

The game of Go is one of the main challenges in artificial intelligence. In particular, it is much harder than Chess, in spite of the fact that it is fully observable and has very intuitive rules.

Currently, the best algorithms are based on Monte Carlo tree search (MCTS) [1]–[3]: they reach the professional level in 9 × 9 Go (the smallest, simplest form) and strong amateur level in 19 × 19 Go.

During the 2009 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE2009), in Jeju Island, games were played between four of the current best programs against a top level professional player and a high-level amateur. We will use the results of the different games in order to summarize the state of the MCTS algorithms, the main differences between the programs, and the current limitations of the algorithms.

1) History of Computer Go: The ranks in the game of Go are ordered by decreasing Kyu, increasing Dan, and then increasing professional Dans: 20 Kyu is the lowest level, 19K, 18K, ...
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An Ontology-based Fuzzy Inference System for Computer Go Applications
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Abstract

In order to stimulate the development and research in computer Go, several Taiwanese Go players were invited to play against some famous computer Go programs. Those competitions revealed that the ontology model for Go game might resolve problems that occurred in the competitions. Therefore, this paper presents a Go game record ontology and Go board ontology schemes. An ontology-based fuzzy inference system is also developed to provide the regional alarm level for a Go beginner or a computer Go program in order to place the stone at the much more appropriate position. Experimental results indicate that the proposed approach is feasible for computer Go application. Hopefully, advances in the intelligent agent and the ontology model can provide a significant amount of knowledge to make a progress in computer Go program and achieve as much as computer chess or Chinese chess in the future.

Keywords: Computer Go, Fuzzy Inference, Knowledge Management, Ontology.

1. Introduction

As Go remains a challenge for computer science research [1], Monte Carlo methods are highly promising for such applications, especially for small versions of the game such as 9×9 games. Werf et al. [2] devised a search-based approach for playing Go on small boards.

Bouzy and Cazenave [3] presented an AI-oriented survey of computer Go. Martin Müller won despite 29 handicap stones against the computer Go program Many Faces of Go [4]. The computer Go program MoGo achieved unprecedented impressive results in 19×19 game by winning with a handicap of six and seven stones against a 2P and a 9P Go player, respectively, in Taiwan Open 2009 [15]. The computer Go program Fuego won a 9×9 game as White against the 9P Go player in August 2009 [18]. The latest world record involved the computer Go program MoGoTW winning a 9×9 game as Black against the 9P Go player in October 2009 (http://mogotw.nutn.edu.tw), which is extremely more difficult for the computer Go program to win a top professional Go player as Black than as White.

Knowledge refers to relevant and actionable information that is based on an individual’s experience [5]. Although all knowledge workers share certain characteristic activities, annotated data is obtained within a framework or ontology [6]. As a highly effective means of sharing knowledge and representing information and its semantics [7], ontology is a conceptualization of a real world domain in a human understandable, machine-readable format that consists of entities, attributes, relationships, and axioms [8]. Moreover, ontology mediation allows us to combine knowledge from the ontologies [6]. For instance, in addition to proposing a fuzzy ontology scheme for summarizing news [9], Lee et al. also developed an ontology-based intelligent decision support agent for project monitoring and control (PMC) process area of the capability maturity model integration (CMMI) [10]. Reformat and Ly [7] devised an ontology-based approach to provide a rich environment for expressing different information types, including perceptions.

Monte Carlo Tree Search (MCTS)-based computer Go is an important milestone for computer Go development. Minimax and alpha-beta searches are the conventional approaches adopted in computer games. However, in Go, even after pruning by patterns or rules, these approaches are clearly outperformed by MCTS [15]. Bruggmann developed an original evaluation function based on Monte Carlo exploration [11]. The All-Moves-As-First (AMAF) value of a move enhances the Monte Carlo evaluation by using statistics on permutations of games. In the MCTS setting, AMAF values are usually called...
THE IEEE SSCI 2011 HUMAN VS. COMPUTER-GO COMPETITION

Shi-Jim Yen¹, Cheng-Wei Chou², Chang-Shing Lee³, Hassen Doghmen⁴ and Olivier Teytaud⁵

Hualien, Taipei, Taiwan Paris, France

A Human vs. Computer Go Competition was held as a part of the IEEE Symposium Series on Computational Intelligence 2011 (IEEE SSCI 2011). The competition took place from April 13th to 14th 2011 at Paris, France. There were several games in this competition, including 13x13 Go, 19x19 Go, Blind Go, and Random Go games. This report focuses on 13x13 Go and 19x19 Go. For Blind Go and Random Go, please refer to the report by Yen et al. (2011). The human participants Chun-Hsun Chou and Ping-Chiang Chou are brothers. They both are professional Go Players. Table 1 shows information on the human participants. Table 2 shows information of the two computer Go programs, MOGO and PACHI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chun-Hsun Chou</td>
<td>9-Dan</td>
<td>Winner of 2007 LG Cup, the contest offering the largest reward in the world every year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ping-Chiang Chou</td>
<td>5-Dan</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: List of human participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Program</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Machine Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOGO</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>Many authors; see <a href="http://www.lri.fr/~teytaud/mogoh.html">http://www.lri.fr/~teytaud/mogoh.html</a></td>
<td>The Grid5000 grid (<a href="http://www.grid5000.fr">www.grid5000.fr</a>)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACHI</td>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Petr Baudis, Jean-loup Gailly</td>
<td>A cluster (64 machines, 20 cores each)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Information of the Go-program participants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Handicap</th>
<th>MOGO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chun-Hsun Chou</td>
<td>H2</td>
<td>W+R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ping-Chiang Chou</td>
<td>H2.5</td>
<td>W+R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: The result of the 13x13 games

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Handicap</th>
<th>MOGO</th>
<th>PACHI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chun-Hsun Chou</td>
<td>H7</td>
<td>W+R</td>
<td>B+R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ping-Chiang Chou</td>
<td>H6</td>
<td>W+R</td>
<td>W+R</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: The result of the 19x19 games

The games were played under Chinese Go rules. In 13x13 Go, there are two different handicaps: H2 and H2.5. H2.5 means Handicap 3 with komi 3.5. The thinking time of 13x13 Go was 30 minutes per side. Table 3 shows the result of the 13x13 games. In 19x19 Go, both MOGO and PACHI played both H7 and H6 games. The thinking time of 19x19 Go was 45 minutes per side. PACHI won one H7 game by killing a big group of the winner of 2007 LG Cup, Chun-Hsun Chou. Chou 9P said that PACHI played in pro-level for killing a big group. Although computer Go programs can beat the strongest human in 9x9 Go (Billouet et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2010; Yen, Olivier and Lee, 2009), but the gap between computer Go programs and human professional Go players is still big in 19x19 Go (Lee et al., 2011). The 13x13 Go was first held in a Human vs. Computer Go Competition. It may be a next target for Computer Go to beat the top pro in the near future.

¹ Dept. of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Dong Hwa University, Hualien, Taiwan. Email: sjyen@mail.ndhu.edu.tw, 097210022@cms.ndhu.edu.tw
² Dept. of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National University of Tainan, Taiwan. Email: leecc@mail.nutn.edu.tw
³ FAO team, Inria Saclay IDF, LRI, UMR 8623 (CNRS - Université Paris-Sud), France. Email: olivier.teytaud@gmail.com, hassen.doghmen@gmail.com

Intelligent Agents for the Game of Go

Abstract: Monte-Carlo Tree Search (MCTS) is a very efficient recent technology for games and planning, particularly in the high-dimensional case, when the number of time steps is moderate and when there is no natural evaluation function. Surprisingly, MCTS makes very little use of learning. In this paper, we present four techniques (ontologies, Bernstein races, Contextual Monte-Carlo and poolRAVE) for learning agents in Monte-Carlo Tree Search, and experiment them in difficult games and in particular, the Game of Go. It shows the strengths and limitations of MCTS, and in particular, the lack of learning. There are, however, a few known techniques for introducing learning: Rapid-Action Value Estimate (RAVE) and learnt patterns (both well-known now, and discussed below); our focus is on more recent and less widely-known learning techniques introduced in MCTS. The next two sections will show these less standard applications of supervised learning within MCTS: Section III will show how to use past games for improving future games, and section IV will show the inclusion of learning inside a given MCTS run, Section V will be the conclusion.
Special Issue on Monte Carlo Techniques and Computer Go

THE technique of Monte Carlo tree search (MCTS) has revolutionized the field of computer game playing, and is starting to have an impact in other search and optimization domains as well. In past decades, the dominant paradigm in game algorithms was alpha–beta search. This technique, with many refinements and game-specific engineering, led to breakthrough performances in classic board games such as Chess, Checkers, and Othello. After Deep Blue’s famous victory over Kasparov in 1996, some of the research focus shifted to games where alpha–beta search was not sufficient. Most prominent among these games was the ancient Asian game of Go. Despite much effort, progress remained slow for another decade. During the last few years, the use of MCTS techniques in Computer Go has really taken off, but the groundwork was laid much earlier. In 1990, Abramson [1] proposed to model the expected outcome of a game by averaging the results of many random games. In 1993, Brügmann [2] proposed Monte Carlo techniques for Go using almost random games, and developed the refinement he termed all-moves-as-first (AMAF). Ten years later, a group of French researchers working with Bouzy and Cazenave took up the idea [3]. Bouzy’s Indigo program used Monte Carlo simulation to decide between the top moves proposed by a classical knowledge-based Go engine.

Colin’s Crazy Stone [4] was the first to add the crucial second element, a selective game tree search controlled by the results of the simulations. The last piece of the puzzle was the upper confidence tree (UCT) algorithm of Kocsis and Szepesvari [5], which applied ideas from the theory of multiarmed bandits to the problem of how to selectively grow a game tree. Gelly and Wang developed the first version of McGo [6], which among other innovations combined Coulom’s ideas, the UCT algorithm, and pattern-directed simulations. AMAF was revived and extended in Gelly and Silver’s rapid action value estimate (RAVE), which computes AMAF statistics in all nodes of the UCT tree. Rapid progress in applying knowledge and parallelizing the search followed. Today, programs such as McGo/McGoTW, Crazy Stone, Fuego, Many Faces of Go, and Zen have achieved a level of play that seemed unthinkable only a decade ago. These programs are now competitive at a professional level for 9 × 9 Go and amateur Dan strength on 19 × 19 [7].

One measure of success is competitions. In Go, Monte Carlo programs now completely dominate classical programs on all board sizes (though no one has tried boards larger than 19 × 19). Monte Carlo programs have achieved considerable success in play against humans. An early sign of things to come was a series of games on a 7 × 7 board between Crazy Stone and professional 5th Dan Guo Juan. Crazy Stone demonstrated almost perfect play. In 2009, a series of matches held on a 9 × 9 board, culminated in program wins playing as both white (the easier color) with Fuego and black with McGo/McGoTW against the top level professional Go player, Chun-Hsun Chou. In 2010, McGo and Many Faces of Go achieved wins against strong amateur players on 13 × 13 with only two handicap stones. On the full 19 × 19 board, programs have racked up a number of wins (but still a lot more losses) on six and seven handicap stones against top professional Go players [8L, [9].

Besides rapid progress in Go, the most exciting recent developments in MCTS have shown an ever increasing array of applications. In games such as Hex, Havannah, and Lines of Action, MCTS is the state of the art. MCTS can play very well even with little knowledge about the game as evidenced by its success in general game playing. In areas as diverse as energy optimization problems, tuning of libraries, domain-independent planning, and solving Markov decision processes (MDPs), techniques inspired by MCTS are rapidly being developed and applied. However, current MCTS techniques do not work well for all games or all search problems. This poses some interesting questions. When and why does it succeed and fail? How can it be extended to new applications where it does not work yet? How best may it be combined with other approaches such as classical minimax search and knowledge-based methods?

The purpose of this Special Issue on Monte Carlo Techniques and Computer Go is to publish high-quality papers reporting the latest research covering the theory and practice of these and other methods applied to Go and other games. The special issue received eighteen paper submissions, of which eight have been accepted. These papers cover Go, Lines of Action, Hex, single-player general game playing, parallelization in Go, and analyzing game records using on Monte Carlo techniques.

The first paper, “Current frontiers in Computer Go” by Rimmel et al., presents an overview of the state of the art in Computer Go by some of the current members of the McGo project, showing the many similarities and the rare differences between the current best programs, and reports the results of the Computer Go event organized at the 2009 IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems (FUZZ-IEEE 2009). Importantly, the first ever win of a computer against a 9th Dan professional player in 9 × 9 Go occurred at this event.

The second paper, “Monte Carlo tree search in Lines of Action” by Winands et al., presents a MCTS-based program for playing the game Lines of Action (LOA). With the improved MCTS variant, the proposed program is able to outperform even the world’s strongest alpha–beta-based LOA program. This is an important milestone for MCTS because the traditional game-
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2010 INVITED GAME FOR MOGOTW VS. HUMAN GO PLAYER IN TAIWAN

Mei-Hui Wang¹, Chang-Shing Lee¹, Yuan-Liang Wang²
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ABSTRACT

This article reports the invited games played in the 2010 Invited Game for MoGoTW vs. Human Go Player, held at National University of Taiwan (NUTN), Taiwan, on Mar. 21, 2010. Twenty-four Go players ranking from 1D(Dan) to 3D were invited to challenge the computer Go program MoGoTW by playing 9 × 9 games to validate if MoGoTW has been reached to 1D, 2D or 3D based on the amateur Taiwanese scale. From the games results, MoGoTW won 23 out of 24 games so that MoGoTW was awarded the 1D, 2D, and 3D certificates, by the Taiwanese Go Association at the Haifong Weiqi Academy on Apr. 2, 2010. In the future, the team members of MoGoTW in both Taiwan and France will continue to improve the weaknesses of MoGoTW to let computer Go achieve as much as computer chess or Chinese chess.

1. INTRODUCTION

Go is one of the most complex board games. It is played regularly by millions of players in many countries around the world. Despite several decades of artificial intelligence, there are still no computer Go programs that can challenge a strong professional player in 19 × 19 games [1]. This is because Go is a problem with high uncertainty, especially for big board games, like 19 × 19 board. Each player has his own thinking way to play with his opponent, and each top professional Go player would take different strategies even though facing the same situation. For the past several years, computer Go has been developing by researchers. In 1998, Martin Müller won despite 29 handicap stones against Many Faces of Go. In 2008, MoGo and CrazyStone won Myung-Wan Kim (8th Dan Pro and winner of the 2008 US Open) and Kaori Aoba (4th Dan Pro, 4P) in 19 × 19 games with handicap 9 and 7 stones, respectively. Since 2008, National University of Taiwan (NUTN) and other organizations have hosted or organized several Go-related events, including the 2008 Computational Intelligence Forum & World 9 × 9 Computer Go Championship (http://go.nutn.edu.tw/) [2], 2009 Invited Games for MoGo vs. Taiwan Professional Go Players (Taiwan Open 2009, http://go.nutn.edu.tw/2009/) [3], and FUZZ-IEEE 2009: Panel, Invited Sessions, and Human vs. Computer Game Competition (http://oase.nutn.edu.tw/FUZZ_IEEE_2009/) [4].

In Feb. 2009, MoGo won with handicap 7 and 6 stones against Chou-Hsun Chou (9P and winner of the LG Cup 2007) and Li-Chen Chien (1P), respectively, at Taiwan Open 2009. Taiwanese Go players were invited to play with four world’s top computer Go programs, including MoGo, Fuego, Zen, and Many Faces of Go at the FUZZ-IEEE 2009: Panel, Invited Sessions, and Human vs. Computer Go Competition, held in Jeju Island, Korea, on Aug. 20–23, 2009. In this event, Fuego won by 2.5 points as White against Chou-Hsun Chou in a 9 × 9 game. The computer Go MoGoTW was developed based on MoGo 4.86 Sessions plus the Taiwan (TW) modifications developed jointly with the Taiwanese colleagues for a National Council Science (NCS)-National Research Agency (ANR) research project between Taiwan and France. In Oct. 2009, MoGoTW also won the first 9 × 9 game against top professional Go player (Chou-Hsun Chou) as Black (http://mogotw.nutn.edu.tw/chinese/result_20091026.htm). Therefore, computer Go Programs have won both as White and Black against top players in 9 × 9 game.

The 2010 Invited Game for MoGoTW vs. Human Go Player (http://go.nutn.edu.tw/2010/) was held at NUTN, Taiwan on Mar. 21, 2010. The age of the 24 invited Go players were from 8 to 13. And, they were divided into three groups according to their dan grade of Go, namely 1D–3D (Dan). Each group had eight children. MoGoTW won all of the games except one game against a 3D Go player. Despite one lost game, MoGoTW was qualified to award three certificates with 1D, 2D, and 3D level on Apr. 2, 2010. It was the first time that the Taiwanese Go association awarded a certificate to a computer Go program. Simultaneously, a ceremony about the cooperative agreement memorandum between NUTN and Taiwan’s National Center for High-Performance Computing (NCHC) in Taiwan was held and four Go players, including a 9P, 1P, 7D, and 6D, were invited to play against MoGoTW. In the end of the games, MoGoTW won 3 out of 7 games. The remainder of this report is as follows. Section 2 describes the game results. Finally, we draw the conclusions in Section 3.

¹ Dept. of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National University of Taiwan, Taiwan.
² Computer Center, National University of Taiwan, Taiwan.
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⁵ Dept. of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Dong Hwa University, Taiwan.
The Computational Intelligence of MoGo Revealed in Taiwan’s Computer Go Tournaments

Chang-Shing Lee, Senior Member, IEEE, Mei-Hui Wang, Guillaume Chaslot, Jean-Baptiste Hoock, Arpad Rimmel, Olivier Teytaud, Shang-Rong Tsai, Shun-Chin Hsu, and Tsung-Fei Hong, Member, IEEE

Abstract—In order to promote computer Go and stimulate further development and research in the field, the event activities, Computational Intelligence Forum and World 9 x 9 Computer Go Championship, were held in Taiwan. This study focuses on the invited players invited in the tournament Taiwanese Go Players versus the Computer Program MoGo held at the National University of Taiwan (NUTN), Taiwan, Taiwan. Several Taiwanese Go players, including one 9-Dan (9D) professional Go player and eight amateur Go players, were invited by NUTN to play against MoGo from August 28 to October 4, 2008. The MoGo program combines all-moves-as-first (AMAF) rapid action value estimation (RAVE) values, online “upper confidence tree (UCT)-like” values, offline values extracted from databases, and expert rules. Additionally, four properties of MoGo are analyzed including: 1) the weakness in corners, 2) the scaling over time, 3) the behavior in handicap games, and 4) the main strengths of MoGo in contact fights. The results reveal that MoGo can reach the level of 3 Dan (3D) with: 1) good skills for fights, 2) weaknesses in corners, in particular, for “sennit” situations, and 3) weaknesses in favorable situations such as handicap games. It is hoped that the advances in AI and computational power will enable considerable progress in the field of computer Go, with the aim of achieving the same levels as computer Chess or Chinese Chess in the future.

Index Terms—Computational intelligence, computer Go, game, MoGo, Monte Carlo tree search (MCTS).

I. INTRODUCTION

GAMES provide competitive dynamic environments that are ideal for testing computational intelligence theories, architectures, and algorithms [1]. Many studies have identified the developments, and opportunities for applying computational intelligence methods to games [1]. [2]. Additionally, Go remains an excellent challenge for computer science research; however, Monte Carlo methods have very recently shown significant promise, especially for small versions of the game such as 9 x 9 games. Therefore, the upper confidence tree (UCT) Monte Carlo has considerable potential for application to other games such as Hex, Amazons, and even Shogi [2], [39]. Schaeffer and Henik [38], [39] noted that work on computer games has resulted in advances in numerous computing areas. Many ideas that developed through game tree search have been applied to other algorithms. For example, the UCT Monte Carlo algorithm may have important applications to control non-player characters (NPCs) in video games such as Quake [1], [2]. Moreover, many studies have applied AI and evolutionary computation to games. For instance, Chessbapi and Fegel [3], [4] developed an expert program that plays Checkers without using human expertise or expert knowledge. Messerschmidt and Engelsbricht [5] developed a competitive learning approach to playing games. Wetz et al. [6] presented a search-based approach for playing Go on small boards. Bouzy and Caizhuo [7] presented an AI-oriented survey of computer Go. Togelius et al. [8] applied computational intelligence to racing games. Chen [9] proposed a strategy that maximizes the chance of winning when searching Go game trees. Cunningham et al. [41] advocated the development of adaptive programming as an alternative to current constructive programming techniques, as well as the application of adaptive programming to many domains. Carbounet et al. [42] proposed an interactive story authoring technology that offers students an opportunity to successfully construct interactive game stories. Zabari et al. [43] proposed a new dual search algorithm to improve the chance of reaching a goal fast, meaning that the algorithm does not necessarily visit all states on a solution path.

In Chess, humans now need a handicap (in favor of the human) to have a chance of winning against top-level programs. In Go, humans are still heavily favored to win. For example, in 1998, Muller won despite 29 handicap stones against Many Faces Of Go [11]. Computer Go has, however, made considerable progress in recent years. Programs are currently competitive at the professional level in 9 x 9 Go, and MoGo has won with an advantage of “only” nine handicap stones against top-level human players in 19 x 19 Go; additionally, Crazystone won with handicaps of eight and seven stones against Kaori Aubin, a Japanese 4th Dan Pro (4P). To strengthen computer Go programs and advocate research, development and application of computer games’ related fields, Cheng Juing Christian University (CJC), National University of Taiwan (NUTN), and the Taiwanese Association for Artificial Intelligence (TAAI) hosted the 2008 Computational Intelligence
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